



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

5/11

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/036,559	12/21/2001	Alexander Kosyachkov	SMB 20959	4647

27885 7590 02/03/2004

FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & MCKEE, LLP  
1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SEVENTH FLOOR  
CLEVELAND, OH 44114

EXAMINER

MCDONALD, RODNEY GLENN

ART UNIT , PAPER NUMBER

1753

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/036,559	KOSYACHKOV, ALEXANDER	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Rodney G. McDonald	1753	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) 23, 49 and 50 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5, 13-18, 22, 24-27, 35-39 and 42-44 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) 6-12, 19-21, 28-34, 40 and 41 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) ☐ All   b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
     1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
     2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.  
     3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
 \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____   |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                             | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>9-22-03</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other:  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-5, 13-18, 22, 24-27, 35-39, 43 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baird et al. (U.S. Pat. 4,279,726) in view of Baird et al. (U.S. Pat. 4,675,092) and Davey et al. (U.S. Pat. 4,389,295).

Baird et al. 726 teach a process for making electroluminescent films and devices by sputtering. **Zinc sulfide, manganese (the activator), and copper sputtering targets** are arranged in a circular configuration. RF voltages, applied to the targets, cause sputtering of the target materials. A transparent substrate with a transparent electrode formed thereon is rotated beneath the sputtering targets. An

Art Unit: 1753

electroluminescent film including the target materials is formed on the upper surface of the transparent electrode. **Concentration of the target materials in the electroluminescent film can be controlled by controlling the rf voltages applied to the sputtering targets.** (See Abstract)

An apparatus for making electroluminescent films by sputtering according to the method of the present invention is illustrated in FIGS. 1 and 2. The essential components of the apparatus are shown in simplified form for ease of understanding. The electroluminescent film in the present example is zinc sulfide doped with manganese and copper. **A zinc sulfide target 10, a manganese target 12, and a copper target 14 are placed in a vessel 16 which can be evacuated through a port 18 and filled with a suitable gas at low pressure. The gas is ionized during sputtering. One preferred gas is argon at a pressure in the range of 5 to 20 microns.** A substrate 20 is placed on a turntable 22 which is located within the vessel 16 and is located in proximity to the targets 10, 12, and 14. In the present example, the targets 10, 12, and 14 are placed in a generally circular configuration above the turntable 22 as shown in FIG. 2. The substrate 20 is placed at a point on the turntable 22 such that it passes beneath each of the targets 10, 12, and 14 when the turntable 22 is rotated. The substrate 20 is typically glass coated with a transparent electrode such as tin oxide or indium-tin oxide. The turntable 22 is coupled to a motor 24 external to the vessel 16 by a shaft 26. The shaft 26 passes through a vacuum-tight feedthrough 28 in the wall of the vessel 16. **When the motor 24 is operating, it causes the turntable 22 and the substrate 20 to rotate. Thus, the substrate 20 is moved successively into**

**proximity to the targets 10, 12, and 14 as it rotates.** (Column 2 lines 49-68; Column 3 lines 1-9)

In operation, rf voltages are applied to the zinc sulfide target 10, the manganese target 12, and the copper target 14, thereby causing sputtering of the target materials as is well known to those skilled in the sputtering art. The substrate 20 is rotated by the motor 24 so as to pass sequentially and repeatedly underneath each of the targets 10, 12, and 14. A preferred angular speed is 5 r.p.m. As the substrate 20 passes beneath each target, a thin layer of the target material is deposited on the upper surface of the transparent electrode on the substrate 20, thereby gradually forming an electroluminescent film. Several hours of the sputtering process are required to form an electroluminescent film of about a micron in thickness. (Column 3 lines 39-52)

As noted hereinabove, the host material is zinc sulfide while the copper and manganese are dopants. Typically, the dopant levels do not exceed a few weight percent. ***The concentration of each dopant in the electroluminescent film can be controlled by varying the voltage applied to the sputtering target. When non-uniform dopant concentration is desired, the voltage applied to the dopant targets is varied during the sputtering process, either continuously or in steps. Alternatively, or in combination with voltage control, the dopant concentration in the electroluminescent film can be controlled by varying the area of the target exposed to the substrate.*** (Column 4 lines 17-29)

While the above-described process for making electroluminescent films and devices has been described in connection with zinc sulfide doped with manganese and

Art Unit: 1753

copper, the same method is applicable to ***other host materials, for example, zinc selenide or cadmium sulfide and to other dopants, such as aluminum or rare earth elements.*** The number of sputtering targets and the material of the targets are determined by the desired composition of the electroluminescent film. Also, for greater efficiency, multiple electroluminescent devices can be simultaneously fabricated by the placement of multiple substrates on the rotating turntable. (Column 4 lines 38-49)

The differences between Baird et al. 726 and the present claims is that controlling the power ratio is not discussed, the ZnS target incorporating the activator (i.e. rare earth or manganese) is not discussed, utilizing a sulfur containing atmosphere is not discussed and the pressures are not discussed.

Baird et al. 726 discussed above teach controlling the power to the individual targets which suggest controlling the power to be within Applicant's controlled power ratio. (See Baird et al. 726 discussed above)

Baird et al. 092 teach producing a thin film electroluminescent device by forming a phosphor layer of zinc sulfide with manganese as an activator on the layer of insulating material. To form the phosphor layer electrical energy is applied to a target containing elemental zinc in an atmosphere containing hydrogen sulfide and argon to cause sputtering therefrom. Elemental zinc reacts with the hydrogen sulfide to deposit a layer of zinc sulfide over the layer of insulating material. ***The manganese is cosputtered either from a separate target or from a single target incorporating both zinc and manganese.*** (See Abstract)

***The manganese may be incorporated in a single target, either by being dispersed through the target or by being alloyed with zinc. The relative amounts of zinc and manganese are chosen so that upon sputtering, the deposited phosphor film will have the desired proportion of manganese. Activators other than manganese may be employed, in particular various of the rare earth elements. Rare earth activators may also be employed with a coactivator.***

(Column 3 lines 4-24)

The motivation for utilizing an activator (i.e. manganese or rare earth) in a single target with zinc is that it allows for deposition of uniform, high-quality films. (Column 1 lines 61-64)

Davey et al. teach co-sputtering from two targets, one of which may be a zinc sulfide target and the other a target of manganese metal. (Column 3 lines 61-66)

***The sputtering gas from the gas source may be composed of argon pre-mixed with a small percentage of hydrogen sulfide such as 1.5% to 10% hydrogen sulfide. The flow rate may be 130 sccm. The sputtering pressure is preferably on the order of 6 microns. (Falls within Applicant's range of about 5 mTorr)***

Hydrogen sulfide is used in the sputtering gas to restore sulphur stoichiometry, which would otherwise be deficient as the manganese target supplies no sulphur. (Column 4 lines 56-64)

The motivation for utilizing a sulfur containing atmosphere is that it restores the sulphur stoichiometry. (Column 4 lines 56-64)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Baird et al. 726 by utilizing an activator in a single target as taught by Baird et al. 092 and to have utilized a sulfur containing atmosphere for sputtering as taught by Davey et al. because it allows for deposition of uniform, high-quality films and for restoring sulfur stoichiometry.

Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baird et al. 726 in view of Baird et al. 092 and Davey et al. as applied to claims 1-5, 13-18, 22, 24-27, 35-39, 43 and 44 above, and further in view of Kato et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,747,929).

The differences not yet discussed is the heat treatment of the electroluminescent film.

Kato et al. teach that after forming an electroluminescent layer by sputtering a thermal treatment is preferably performed. In the embodiment, thermal treatment is preferably performed for 5 minutes in an Ar+H<sub>2</sub>S atmosphere at 650 degrees C. (Column 7 lines 22-25)

The motivation for performing thermal treatment allows for the film to exhibit light emission. (Column 7 line 29)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized a heat treatment as taught by Kato et al. because it allows for producing a film that exhibits light emission.



***Allowable Subject Matter***

Claims 6-9, 10-12, 19-21, 28-31, 32-34, 40, 41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 23, 49 and 50 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 6-9 and 28-31 are indicated as being allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach the method wherein a sulfur bearing compound which is an alkaline earth sulfide is utilized

Claims 10-12 and 32-34 are indicated as being allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach the method wherein the method produces a phosphor composition which is an alkaline earth thioaluminate phosphor film.

Claims 19-21, 40 and 41 are indicated as being allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach a method wherein the substrate is rotated and/or oscillated to facilitate deposition of a laminated phosphor film that is alternately rich and poor in aluminum.

Claim 23 is allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach a method for depositing a film onto a substrate, said method comprising the steps of: providing a substrate having a substrate surface; depositing a rare earth

activated alkaline earth thioaluminate phosphor composition over the substrate surface, the composition being a laminated film with a periodic composition alternatively rich and poor in aluminum.

Claims 49 and 50 are allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach a method for preparing a thin film phosphor composition, said method comprising the steps of placing a substrate within a reactive chamber; supplying a sputtering gas mixture of hydrogen sulfide at a pressure of about  $5 \times 10^{-3}$  torr to the reactive chamber; applying power in a ratio of about 1:1 to 5:1 to a first source of elemental aluminum and a second source of alkaline earth sulfide; said first or second source additionally comprising an activator species selected from the group consisting of cerium and europium; wherein applying said power to said first and second sources causes sputtering thereof and a flux of atomic species of said first and second targets onto said substrate to form a thin film alkaline earth thioaluminate phosphor composition.

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments filed 11-24-03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

### ***RESPONSE TO THE ARGUMENTS OF THE 35 U.S.C. 103 REJECTIONS:***

In response to the argument that there is no motivation to combine the references the motivation for combining the references is that it will allow for deposition of uniform, high-quality films and with controlled stoichiometry. (See Baird et al. '092 and Davey et al. discussed above)

In response to the argument that the '726 patent fails to teach doping the first metal source or second sulfur bearing source, it is agreed that the '726 patent fails to teach doping of the first metal source or the second sulfur bearing source. However Baird et al. '092 teach utilizing a target source that is doped such that both Zinc and the dopant are sputtered from the source. (See Baird et al. '092 discussed above)

In response to the argument that the '726 patent fails to teach deposition of a ternary or higher phosphor composition, it is argued that the '726 patent does teach depositing a ternary phosphor composition. Three elements that can make up the film are Zn, Cu and Mn along with sulfur. (See Baird et al. '726 discussed above)

In response to the argument that the '092 patent fails to teach providing a sulfur bearing compound as a second source and doping such a source with an activator, it is argued that the '092 patent recognizes doping a target with an activator so as to form a single target with the activator for sputtering. Therefore one of ordinary skill in the art would modify the '726 patent by moving the activator into one of the targets (i.e. ZnS or Cu) in order to form a single target for sputtering to form a more uniform film. (See Baird et al. '092 and Baird et al. '726 discussed above)

In response to the argument that the '295 does not teach the use of a sulfur bearing compound as a source that can be doped with a rare earth activator and sputtered along with a metallic source to deposit a multi-element phosphor, as discussed in the previous paragraph the '092 patent suggests doping a target with an activator to produce a single target for sputtering. Therefore one of ordinary skill in the art would modify the '726 patent by moving the activator into one of the targets (i.e. ZnS

or Cu) in order to form a single target for sputtering to form a more uniform film. The '295 patent was relied upon to suggest that utilizing a sulfur atmosphere will help control the stoichiometry of the depositing film. (See Baird et al. '092 and Baird et al. '726 discussed above)

In response to the argument that the references do not teach a ternary or higher composition, it is argued that the Baird et al. '726 patent suggests a ternary composition. The elements that make up the composition can include Zn, Cu, Mn. (See Baird et al. '726 discussed above)

In response to the argument that Kato does not teach proper motivation for heat treatment, it is argued that Kato motivation is appropriate since a benefit of the heat treatment will improve light emission of a phosphor. (See Kato discussed above)

### ***Conclusion***

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney G. McDonald whose telephone number is 571-272-1340. The examiner can normally be reached on M- Th with Every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1300.



Rodney G. McDonald  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 1753

RM  
January 29, 2004